1	2	3	4
2. गैर-विशेष श्रेणी			
1 आंध्र प्रदेश	10500.00	3365.02	7134.98
2. बिहार	13000.00	5051.84	7948.16
3. गोआ	761.00	459.80	301.20
4. गुजरात	11500.00	7650.21	3849.79
5. हरियाणा	5700.00	3780.72	1919.28
6. कर्नाटक	12300.00	8108.51	4191.49
7. केरल	5460.00	1520.03	3939.97
8. मध्य प्रदेश	11100.00	5455.38	5644.62
9. महाराष्ट्र	18520.00	13251.79	5268.21
10. उड़ीसा	10000.00	3881.14	6118.86
11. पंजाब	6570.00	(-)3344.57	9914.57
12. राजस्थान	11500.00	5552.12	5947.88
13. तमिलनाडु	10200.00	1653.75	8546.25
14. उत्तर प्रदेश	21000.00	4756.87	16243.13
15. पश्चिम बंगाल	9760.00	3071.30	6688.70

1992-93 के दौरान अनुमोदित परिव्यय राज्यों के निजी स्रोतों, तथा केन्द्रीय सहायता

(करोड़ रु०)

राज्य	अनुमोदित परिव्यय/ संशोधित परिव्यय	राज्यों के निजी स्रोत	केन्द्रीय सहायता
1	2	3	4
1. विशेष श्रेणी राज्य			
1. अरुणाचल प्रदेश	247.60	0.00	247.60
2. असम	961.72	0.00	961.72
3. हिमाचल प्रदेश	489.50	90.00	399.50
4. जम्मू और कश्मीर	820.00	0.00	820.00
5. मणिपुर	210.00	2.04	207.96
6. मेघालय	241.00	23.47	217.53
7. मिजोरम	166.00	1.81	164.00
8. नागालैंड	185.00	0.00	185.00
9. सिक्किम	110.00	1.10	108.90
10. त्रिपुरा	282.00	19.36	262.64
2. गैर-विशेष श्रेणी राज्य			
11. आंध्र प्रदेश	1675.00	305.17	1369.83
12. बिहार	2206.73	574.25	1632.48
13. गोआ	152.50	81.77	70.73
14. गुजरात	1875.00	1124.79	750.21
15. हरियाणा	830.00	427.61	402.39
16. कर्नाटक	1915.00	1056.65	858.35
17. केरल	913.00	85.68	827.32
18. मध्य प्रदेश	2400.00	1181.12	1218.88
19. महाराष्ट्र	3180.00	2025.58	1154.42
20. उड़ीसा	1405.00	240.56	1164.44
21. पंजाब	1150.00	(-)709.42	1858.87
22. राजस्थान	1410.00	369.01	1040.99
23. तमिलनाडु	1766.00	295.60	1470.40
24. उत्तर प्रदेश	3857.90	12.42	3845.48
25. पश्चिम बंगाल	1541.00	(-)145.81	1686.81

Postponement of cadre review of ISS

2783. SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to refer to the reply to UnStarred Question 2634 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 3rd August, 1992 and state:

(a) Whether Government issued any instructions to defer the cadre review of ISS and other organised Group 'A' services, if so, when the same was issued and by whom;

(b) whether Government would propose to lay a copy of the above instructions on the table of the House; and

(c) what is the outcome of the consultations carried with various departments and what further action on the same is being taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION' AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) and (b) The instructions issued by the Finance Ministry in February, 92, required that the Cadre Controlling Authorities should undertake and exercise to earmark the posts which could be surrendered at different levels in Group 'A' Central Services and intimate

the outcome of the exercise to Finance Ministry, who are also to be provided with information on the following aspects:—

(i) Structure of the Service;

(ii) Total number of sanctioned posts as on 1.1.1992 in different Grades with designation and Scale of Pay attached to each Grade. In case the posts are borne on the strength of different Ministries/Departments, the break up of such posts Ministries/Departments and grade-wise be also given,

(iii) Number of posts out of (ii) above which are vacant in each Grade and since when;

(iv) Whether any action has already been initiated to fill the posts and if so, the stage at which the proposals have reached;

(v) Number of retirements in each Grade during 1992 with details; and

(vi) Number of posts identified for surrender the together with the details of the incumbents likely to be affected by such surrender. The possibility of surrendering more than 10% wherever possible should be examined. The instructions also indicated that pending review by the Government on the basis of the data in respect of (i) to (vi) above and the decisions thereon, the proposals for Cadre Review may not be initiated, processed or implemented. If in any particular case this created any serious difficulty, the Finance Ministry may be informed. Similarly action to fill vacancies in posts likely to be surrendered may also be -held in abeyance.

(c) the information on points (i) to (v) was furnished to the Ministry of Finance. Special problems relating to ISS were brought to their notice. It was also mentioned that in the light of their instructions, the on-going Cadre Review exercise was being deferred for the time being. The possibility of encadering certain individual statistical posts outside the ISS, pending resumption of the Cadre Review exercise, was taken up with the Ministry of Finance. Again, the Ministry of Finance has been requested to consider whether there could be a relaxation of the aforesaid instructions in the case of ISS. The matter is being pursued with them.

Promotion of Direct Recruited Officers to STS and JAG level

2784. SHRI V. NARAYANSAMY: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) what is the average time taken for Directly Recruited (DR) Officer in most of the organised Group 'A' Services to attain the STS and JAG level;

(b) whether the time taken by an ISS DR officer is significantly higer to attain those levels than those in other Group A Services and what is that difference at each level; and

(c) if so, what steps Government propose to take to remove this anomaly?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF NON CONVENTIONALENERGY SOURCES (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a and (c) In the Recruitment Rules relating to various Central Group 'A' services the eligibility period for promotion of direct recruit to Senior Time Scale (STS and Junior Administrative Grade (JAG is normally prescribed as 4 years and years respectively. However, the actus time for promotion to the STS and JA depends on the availability of vacancie at the relevant time. According to the information" collected, this period range between 7 to 27 years for promotion JAG. As regards promotion to STS, the information is not centrally available There have, however, been certa